



January 28, 2015

Marshall Wilcox  
Wilcox Law Group  
3301 E. Bonanza Rd.  
Las Vegas, NV 89120  
marshall@wilcoxlaw.com

To: E. King, Eighth Judicial District

Dear Marshall:

I am following up to our brief conversation at the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada last week and your subsequent email regarding the e-filing system in the Eighth Judicial District. I reviewed the excerpts from your legal notices and other information provided to get a sense of your concerns and to determine if this matter falls within the realm of current priorities of State Bar of Nevada as we support attorneys in Nevada.

There may or may not be a problem with administration of the e-filing system in the Eighth Judicial District. Certainly if the State Bar were to address the issues you address in your communications, we would be required to allocate staff and volunteer time to research the matter. The State Bar currently is not in the position to allocate resources to repair this issue. The focus of the Board of Governors and staff is directed toward our core functions and statewide impact. If your matter is already done so, this matter should be brought to the Nevada Supreme Court Bench Bar Committee and/or to the attention of the new Chief Justice of the District Court.

I remain in contact with you and provide you with timely action you seek from the State Bar.

www.nvbar.org

*Also look at the Vogle case*

129 Nev., Advance Opinion 38

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CITY OF SPARKS, SPARKS CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, Appellants,  
vs.  
SPARKS MUNICIPAL COURT, Respondent.

No. 59139

**FILED**  
MAY 30 2015  
COURT CLERK

Appeal from a district court order granting a preliminary injunction. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Steven P. Elliott, Judge.

*Affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded.*

Lemons, Grunby & Eisenberg and Alicia Campos Mercado, Bess, for Appellants.

Holland & Hart LLP and Anthony L. Hall and Doanna C. Brinkerhoff, Bess,

Ms. Tarras added that they would like to move away from having so many different systems, especially those that do not talk to each other. Part of the reason SCOPE is still around is because there is information in SCOPE that is either not in the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History or they are not accepted in that system. For example, making and homecoming orders are only found in SCOPE. In northern Nevada, a different system besides SCOPE is used. Ms. Tarras believes it is called Tiburon. If someone is remanded back into custody, that is put into SCOPE, but not at the state level. If someone is rebooked on a charge at the jail, it goes into SCOPE but not at the state level. Criminal citations are also only on SCOPE as are non-fingerprint data such as bench warrants.

Ms. Tarras explained that the Criminal History Repository has all the arrest and conviction information from the entire state. When a person is arrested, they are fingerprinted and those prints are sent to the State where a record is created for that person. As that person goes through the judicial process, at the time their charges are dispositioned, that information goes into the Criminal History Repository. The SCOPE system is mostly a Southern Nevada system so it is not comprehensive of everything that a person might have within the State. The Department of Public Safety (DPS) will have additional information such as CCW data where SCOPE only has pointers to CCW applications. The DPS also has sex offender information that SCOPE does not have.

Jule Butler, Administrator, General Services Division added that she thought it would be a step backwards if the State scrapped the Central Repository and everyone went to SCOPE. She suggested a comprehensive review of the data elements everybody is collecting. The stakeholders also need to determine where there are gaps, rather than saying we have no confidence in any of the systems. Everybody has reported millions of dollars into these systems and none of them are complete; it depends on what your agency is statutorily mandated to collect, and the fact that you are only as good as the information reported to you.